

CONFIDENTIAL

DD/S 66-0203

12 JAN 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

SUBJECT : Requests for CIA Speakers


1. This memorandum submits recommendations for your approval; such recommendations are contained in paragraphs 2 and 4.

2. Attached at Tab A is the draft of a notice which sets forth the policies and procedures to be followed in response to requests for CIA speakers. These arrangements were worked out with George Moran and [redacted] If this notice is satisfactory to you, I will have it published soon.

3. [redacted] is preparing texts and outlines of speeches before non-Government groups which will be coordinated and approved in advance. In addition, I am looking into presentations by recruiters and members of the "100 Universities Program" to see if action is needed in these areas.

4. Existing commitments for presentations outside the Intelligence Community will be reviewed and will be submitted for current decision on their continuation. One such commitment is to the American University's Seminar and Workshop in Intelligence Analysis. There is very little documentation of this commitment except the two memoranda from [redacted] attached at Tab B) which refer to oral authorization by the then Executive Director-Comptroller in March 1964. The material presented to this group

CONFIDENTIAL



A

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : Director of Training

DATE: 19 November 1965

FROM : Chief, Intelligence School

SUBJECT: Participation of Agency Personnel in American University Course

1. [ ] C/EAB/OS, called me on this request and suggested that it be referred to the Executive Director-Comptroller. He's probably right, but it's a bit sticky.

2. You and I know that Mr. Kirkpatrick approved the American University project when [ ] proposed it, approved [ ] retention on a consultant basis, approved [ ] retention of his clearance, and even enlisted Mr. Dulles' aid in getting the Foundation grant to finance the program; but there seems to be no written record of these actions. Mr. Kirkpatrick is gone, [ ] is gone, and Dean Griffith of American University is gone. You and I were involved only peripherally at the time the program was approved.

3. I suggest that I talk this over with [ ] and then, if the DDS approves, take it to Col. White for decision.

1145 65 5357

5 November 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Director of Security

SUBJECT : Participation of Agency Personnel in  
American University Course

1. Paragraph 4 of this memorandum requests current approval of the participation of Agency personnel in American University's Seminar and Workshop in Intelligence Analysis, listed in the University's catalog as course No. 33.684-Q.

2. The development of the course and Agency participation in it were approved by the Executive Director-Comptroller of the Agency in March of 1964, and the Intelligence School of the Office of Training was designated as the Agency liaison channel. The development of the course was initiated by [redacted] 25X1 who began the work immediately after his retirement from the [redacted] 25X1 Office of Training of the Agency on 28 February 1964. [redacted] 25X1 retained his Agency clearance and was in a consultant status. In the summer of 1965 [redacted] place was taken by [redacted] 25X1 the present supervisor of the course. [redacted] has Agency clearance. 25X1

3. The course work uses only unclassified material, and all Agency participation will be at the unclassified level. Agency personnel scheduled to take part in the current running of the course are [redacted] 25X1 and [redacted] of OTR. [redacted]

4. It is requested that current approval of Agency participation be granted.

[redacted] 25X1  
Chief, Intelligence School, OTR

**B**

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001600250022-6

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001600250022-6

**CONFIDENTIAL**

I'd like to be cut in on this review. Is it a bad precedent (or a good one) ? What does CIA get out of this participation?

s/LKW

is unclassified and I believe we should meet the commitment through the current academic year. Before the beginning of the next academic year, this commitment will be reviewed to determine whether or not it should be continued. I recommend your approval to continue participation in this program through the current academic year.

/s/  
R. L. Bannerman  
Deputy Director  
for Support

Atts: Tabs A and B

The recommendations in paragraphs 2 and 4 are approved:

L. K. White  
Executive Director-Comptroller

Date

**Distribution:**

Orig - DTR, w/10 atts (DD/S 65-5628 + 65-5357)  
1 - ER, w/10 atts  
2 - DD/S

**CONFIDENTIAL**